

HISTORIC LANDMARKS BOARD MINUTES

CITY OF SAUSALITO

FINAL

Staff: Schinsing

of

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT / 420 LITHO STREET / SAUSALITO, CA 94965 / (415) 289-4128

MEETING DATE: Wednesday, December 17, 2010

MEETING TIME: 8:30 A.M.

LOCATION: 660 Bridgeway and the City Hall Conference Room, 420 Litho Street

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

The HLB meeting convened at 8:31 AM. Board Members Nichols, Pierce, Kiernat, Supino, and Flavin were present. Associate Planners Burns and Schinsing were also present. Commercial property owner of 660 Bridgeway Chris Henry, and architect Eduardo Llora were also present.

A. HENRY COMMERCIAL BUILDING RENOVATIONS

The Historic Landmarks Board conducted a site-visit at 660 Bridgeway to review the installation of story poles. The review of the item was continued to a date uncertain in order for the applicant to reconsider the location of roof-mounted mechanical equipment and the corresponding screening.

ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING TO 9:30 AM IN THE CITY HALL CONFERENCE ROOM.

- 1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA- No changes to agenda.
- 2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA
 - 3. NEW BUSINESS-

A.	ROBY GARAGE RECONSTRUCTION/40 CABLE ROADWAY	Staff:	Thornberry-A	ssef
	Project: 50-year memo for an existing single family dwelling located	at 40 Ca	able Roadway (APN
	065-193-03)			

Board members Flavin and Nichols presented the information found during the research regarding 40 Cable Roadway.

The HLB then made the following significance findings:

	5 5					
1.	Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the be patterns of the history or cultural heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States?					
	The board finds No Significance under this criterion.					
2.	Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important to our pas					
	The board finds No Significance under this criterion.					
3.	Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method					

construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values?

The board finds No Significance ur	nder this criterion.
------------------------------------	----------------------

	4. Has the structure yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history?							
		The boards finds	No Significance	under this criterion.				
	Based on the findings of no significance, the HLB did not provide comments on the project.							
4.	OLD BUSINESS- None							
5.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES- Approved as amended							
6.	COMMUNICATIONS							
	Staff provided the HLB with information regarding the National Trust for Historic Preservation's 2011 America's 11 Most Endangered Historic Places Application.							
ADJOURNMENT – The meeting was adjourned at 9:40PM								
Meeting Minutes Approved								
	•		Secretary		Date			

I:\CDD\Boards & Committees\HLB\Minutes\2010\12-17 HLB minutes-draft.doc