December 6, 2012

To: Planning Commission, City of Sausalito

From: Nancy & Jim Osborn

2 Kendell Ct.

Sausalito, CA 94965

Re: CUP/DR 97-03

We are writing requesting you request an exploration by Sprint for relocation of their Wireless Facility at Rodeo Ave. and Highway 101.

Much of the reason for this request is based on the history involving a previous application by AT&T for a cellular site at the existing Sprint site. They applied to locate on the 2nd PG&E power pole next to one now held by Sprint. This application resulted in their being required to explore other sites and their subsequent locating in 2002 on the site now indicated as Hwy101/Rodeo Avenue but usually referred to as Cypress Ridge. The AT&T application for location with Sprint was opposed at hearings by many residents and also by parents of those attending Bayside School.

(We weren't able to identify the dates in time for this "late mail" but believe by the time of AT&T's application, the City's Wireless Ordinance #10.45 had been adopted as part of the City's Zoning Ordinance whereas the Sprint installation went in before Sausalito had a Telecommunication Wireless Ordinance in place).

When the Ordinance was adopted, it designated the following: 10. 45.100 Location of Facilities:

"Residential Wireless Communications Facilities are very strongly discouraged from locating within residential areas or at schools except in exceptional circumstances."

Our recollection is that this was the basis for AT&T being required to seek another location. It also is our main reason for suggesting the Sprint installation, including all the major changes being requested at this time, now be re-located to the Cypress Ridge site and co-locate with the AT&T facility there.

The noticing for the modifications requested by Sprint went to Kendell Ct., Arana Circle, much of Lincoln and Nevada including Bayside School, as well as much of Marin, Spring Hill Circle, Spring St., Gordon St., some of Rodeo & Wolfback Ridge and others that might be to owners of property within the noticing area who do not live there. We believe there are fewer residents on the Cypress Ridge noticing list and no school. Thus the re-location by Sprint would become more in line with the Ordinance's Location of Facilities as shown above.

In addition:

>Cypress Ridge is less visible or vulnerable to any kind of natural or individual-caused damage or destruction.

>It doesn't add more unattractive additions to the power pole or the other equipment requirements of the site. The requested modifications seem quite extensive as detailed in the notice's Project Description.

Much of it involves new installations of radio and GPS units on the power pole resulting in a much less aesthetic visual effect.

>The Cypress Ridge AT&T site is on Open Space which is listed in the Ordinance as a more desirable location than the heavily populated residential area with a school nearby where the Sprint facility is now located.

>Cypress Ridge would result in income to the City, not PG&E.

We thus request you take under investigation the positive results of having the Sprint antennas and their modifications be removed from their existing location at Rodeo Ave. and Hwy 101 and rebuilt on the Cypress Ridge location co-locating with AT&T's cellular installation.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Nancy Osborn Jim Osborn