



HISTORIC LANDMARKS BOARD MINUTES

CITY OF SAUSALITO

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT / 420 LITHO STREET / SAUSALITO, CA 94965 / (415) 289-4128 **Draft**

MEETING DATE: **Wednesday, October 23, 2013**
MEETING TIME: **6:30PM**
LOCATION: **Conference Room, 420 Litho Street, Sausalito**

1. CALL TO ORDER

The HLB meeting convened at 6:30PM. Board members Pierce, McCoy, and Nichols, and Kiernat were present. Associate Planner Scoble and applicant Michael Rex were also present.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA- None

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA- Approved the agenda as amended.

4. OLD BUSINESS- None

5. NEW BUSINESS-

A. REILLY RESIDENCE ADU/ 60 ATWOOD AVE.

The HLB conducted a 50-year review for the property at 60 Atwood Avenue. The HLB concluded their review by stating that based on the information available to the HLB, the building is considered to have moderate historical significance under Finding 2 and high historical significance under Finding 3. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The board finds Moderate Significance under this criterion.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The board finds High Significance under this criterion.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

*Agenda # 6
Minutes
(7 pages)*

Based on the findings, the HLB provided a recommendation to the Planning Commission to approve the architectural design of the building as proposed on the basis that the project is a clear separation from the residence.

B. YEE ADDITION/619 COLOMA STREET

The HLB conducted a 50-year review for the property at 619 Coloma Street. The HLB concluded their review by stating that based on the information available to the HLB, the building is not considered to be historically significant. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The board finds **No Significance** under this criterion.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The board finds **No Significance** under this criterion.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The board finds **Moderate Significance** under this criterion.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The board finds **No Significance** under this criterion.

Based on the findings, the HLB determined that the existing residence is not considered to have historic significance.

6. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES-** The minutes of the February 13, 2013, March 13, 2013, April 3, 2013, June 12, 2013, and June 25, 2013, September 11, 2013 have been approved as amended.

7. **COMMUNICATIONS-** Staff planner Scoble identified Bernard Feeny and Natascha Fraser have been appointed to the Historic Landmarks Board.

Meeting Minutes Approved:

Secretary

Date



HISTORIC LANDMARKS BOARD MINUTES

CITY OF SAUSALITO

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT / 420 LITHO STREET / SAUSALITO, CA 94965 / (415) 289-4128 **Draft**

MEETING DATE: Wednesday, December 11, 2013
MEETING TIME: 6:30PM
LOCATION: Conference Room, 420 Litho Street, Sausalito

1. CALL TO ORDER

The HLB meeting convened at 6:30PM. Board members Pierce, Feeny, Kiernat, and Fraser were present. Board member McCoy was absent. Associate Planner Scoble and Contract Planner Steve Padovan were also present. Members of the public included Banram Nazarian, Farid Nadjibi, Ken Nadjibi, Doug Childs, Sandy Stadtfeld, Sharam Sharej, Julie Childs, Tom Ganghano, Sam Jabbar, Fay Mark, and Russ Irwin.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA- None

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA- Approved

4. OLD BUSINESS-

A. VANDERLINDEN RESIDENCE/ 206 THIRD STREET

The HLB reviewed a Historic Resource Evaluation Report prepared by Carey and Co. dated October 23, 2013. The HLB requested the Report be updated to address the following:

- ✓ Inconsistencies and missing information. Specifically, the Report identified it is an evaluation of the building for its potential to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places, but the evaluation is based on California Evaluation criteria, with the exception of integrity
- ✓ The report needs to be written to include a discussion on integrity as it relates to the four criterion.
- ✓ The report should reevaluate and reconsider the existing residence as it relates to the development of the Old Town neighborhood and its potential contribution to that neighborhood. Specifically, on page 19 of the report under criterion 1, the report states, "the subject building is neither an early addition to Old Town nor a unique building type in the area". The report should identify why the building does not meet this criterion.

The HLB also suggested that the City forward the consultant a copy of the Draft City-wide Historic Context statement that has been prepared for the City. Specifically, the report should consider the information in the context statement and then present its findings of how the building does not contribute to the development of Old Town. I would be more than happy to forward a copy of the draft Historic Context Statement to Carey and Co. for their consideration.

B. NEW RESIDENCE/ 274 GLEN DRIVE

The HLB reviewed a Historic Resource Evaluation Report prepared by Preservation Architecture dated November 14, 2013. The HLB requested the Report be updated to address the following:

- ✓ Provide a methodology
- ✓ Provide an evaluation of the individual criterion regarding historical significance
- ✓ Provide an evaluation on historical integrity
- ✓ Provide information on the project site relative to Sandborn maps.

5. NEW BUSINESS-

A. BARCELINO CLOTHING/ 755 BRIDGEWAY

The HLB was asked to provide a recommendation on the retail use to be located within the Downtown Historic Overlay Zoning District. The HLB provided a recommendation to the Planning Commission that a retail clothing store is an appropriate use in the Downtown Historic Overlay Zoning District.

B. IRWIN-MARK RESIDENCE/ 509 JOHNSON STREET

The HLB conducted a 50-year review for the property at 509 Johnson Street. The HLB concluded their review by stating that based on the information available to the HLB, the building is not considered to be historically significant. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The board finds Moderate Significance under this criterion.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

Based on the findings, the HLB determined that the existing residence is not considered to have historic significance.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- None

7. COMMUNICATIONS- *Staff planner Scoble provided an update to the Board on the status of the Machine Shop National Register Nomination.*

Meeting Minutes Approved:

Secretary

Date



HISTORIC LANDMARKS BOARD MINUTES

CITY OF SAUSALITO

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT / 420 LITHO STREET / SAUSALITO, CA 94965 / (415) 289-4128 **Draft**

MEETING DATE: Wednesday, January 8, 2014
MEETING TIME: 6:30PM
LOCATION: Conference Room, 420 Litho Street, Sausalito

1. CALL TO ORDER

The HLB meeting convened at 6:30PM. Board members Pierce, Feeny, Kiernat, and Fraser were present. Board member McCoy was absent. Associate Planner Scoble was also present. Members of the public included Doug Childs, Sandy Stadtfeld, Mark Hulbert, Fritz Vanderlinden, and Ariel Levine.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA- None

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA- Approved

4. OLD BUSINESS-

A. VANDERLINDEN RESIDENCE/ 206 THIRD STREET

The HLB reviewed the supplemental information provided by Carey and Co. date-stamped January 7, 2014 for the property at 206 Third Street. With the historic information provided by Carey and Co., the HLB concluded their review by stating that based on the information available to the HLB, the building is not considered to be historically significant. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

Based on the findings, the HLB determined that the existing residence is not considered to have historic significance.

B. NEW RESIDENCE/ 274 GLEN DRIVE

The HLB reviewed the supplemental information provided by Preservation Architecture dated December 31, 2013 for the property at 274 Glen Drive. With the historic information provided by Preservation Architecture, the HLB, the building is not considered to be historically significant. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The board finds **No Significance** under this criterion.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The board finds **No Significance** under this criterion.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The board finds **No Significance** under this criterion.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The board finds **No Significance** under this criterion.

Based on the findings, the HLB determined that the existing residence is not considered to have historic significance.

5. NEW BUSINESS-

A. TANGLEWOOD FENCE/ 168 HARRISON AVENUE

The HLB conducted a study session review for a Design Review Permit to install a six-foot tall fence around the perimeter of the property known as Tanglewood, a Local Historic Register landmark. The HLB stated that the project can be supported and that the following information be provided on the final plan set:

- ✓ Only show the fence to be installed
- ✓ Clearly note that the existing gate on Harrison Avenue will remain
- ✓ Clearly note that the existing gate on Bulkley Avenue will match the Harrison Avenue Gate

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- None

7. **COMMUNICATIONS-** *Staff planner Scoble provided an update to the Board on the status of the Machine Shop National Register Nomination.*

Meeting Minutes Approved:

Secretary

Date

I:\CDD\Boards & Committees\HLB\Minutes\2014\1-8-14HLB minutes-draft.doc