



# HISTORIC LANDMARKS BOARD MINUTES

Community Development Department | 420 Litho Street | Sausalito, CA 94965 | 415-289-4128

---

**\*\* DRAFT \*\***

**MEETING DATE:** Thursday, July 16, 2015

**MEETING TIME:** 6:33 PM

**LOCATION:** City Hall Conference Room, 420 Litho Street, Sausalito

## CALL TO ORDER

The Historic Landmarks Board meeting convened at 6:33 PM. Chair McCoy, Board Member Brown, and Secretary Fraser were present. Board Member Mercado and Board Member Richardson were absent. Associate Planner Chan and Assistant Planner Montemayor were present.

Members of the public included the project teams for the Fotsch Residence, Schofferman Residence, and Fisher Residence.

## APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Board Member Brown moved and Board Member Fraser seconded a motion to approve the agenda. The motion passed 3-0.

## PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA

None

## OLD BUSINESS

None

## NEW BUSINESS

1. FOTSCH RESIDENCE – 2 ALEXANDER AVENUE (ADR-VA 13-310)  
Edward Fotsch (Applicant / Property Owner)

Staff: Chan

**DESCRIPTION:** An Administrative Design Review Permit and a Variance are requested to allow the construction of a 1,000 square-foot boat pier, composite decking, and hydraulic boatlift. The proposed boat pier will extend into the San Francisco Bay. The Variance would allow the project to encroach into the required rear-yard property setback.

**PROJECT:** Review draft 50-year memo evaluating historical significance of the proposed project.

Secretary Fraser provided a summary of the draft 50-year review memorandum.

The HLB reviewed the packet of materials for the project and evaluated the historic significance of the existing site and structure(s) on the property.

The HLB concluded their review by stating that based upon the information available and presented, the existing site and structure(s) of the property are not considered to be a significant local historic resource. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 2:1 (Chair McCoy finds moderate significance)

2. SCHOFFERMAN RESIDENCE – 39 SAN CARLOS AVENUE (VA 15-062)

Leslie Schofferman (Applicant / Property Owner)

Staff: Montemayor

DESCRIPTION: A Variance is requested to extend and finish an existing basement under the building footprint of an existing single-family residence. The proposed addition will enlarge the basement by 125 square-feet of habitable living space. The Variance would allow the project to encroach into the required side-yard property setback on the easterly side.

PROJECT: Review draft 50-year memo evaluating historical significance of the proposed project.

Board Member Brown and Chair McCoy provided a summary of the draft 50-year review memorandum.

The HLB reviewed the packet of materials for the project and evaluated the historic significance of the existing site and structure(s) on the property.

The HLB concluded their review by stating that based upon the information available and presented, the existing site and structure(s) of the property are not considered to be a significant local historic resource. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

3. FISHER RESIDENCE – 60 EDWARDS AVENUE (DR 13-311)

Michael Fisher (Applicant / Property Owner)

Staff: Montemayor

DESCRIPTION: A Design Review Permit is requested to allow the build-out to a lower-level storage area of an existing dwelling unit. The proposed build-out will create 527 square-feet of habitable living space to accommodate a new bedroom, bathroom, laundry room, and stairway.

PROJECT: Review draft 50-year memo evaluating historical significance of the proposed project.

Board Member Brown provided a summary of the draft 50-year review memorandum.

The HLB reviewed the packet of materials for the project and evaluated the historic significance of the existing site and structure(s) on the property.

The HLB concluded their review by stating that based upon the information available and presented, the existing site and structure(s) of the property are not considered to be a significant local historic resource. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The Board finds moderate significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0. Former property owner/resident Ron Albert contributes to the finding of moderate significance.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3:0.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Secretary Fraser moved and Board Member Brown seconded a motion to approve the February 25, 2015 meeting minutes as submitted by staff.

The June 10, 2015 meeting minutes will be reviewed at the next HLB meeting.

## COMMUNICATIONS

### STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

- Historic Preservation Regulations Update re-starting in September 2015.
- The next HLB meeting is on July 29, 2015.

### HLB COMMUNICATIONS

- Chair McCoy and Secretary Fraser's terms on the HLB will be concluding in July 2015.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Secretary Fraser moved and Board Member Brown seconded a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed 3-0. The meeting adjourned at 7:20 PM.

<b>MEETING MINUTES APPROVED</b>	
Secretary Natascha Fraser	Date