

CITY OF SAUSALITO

Sausalito, CA 94965

Addendum No. 1

Notice Issued May 13, 2016

For

Project Management Services – City of Sausalito MLK Campus Repairs Project

NOTICE TO ALL PLAN HOLDERS SUBMITTING BIDS FOR THIS WORK:

You are hereby notified of the following information, changes, clarifications or modifications to the original Contract Documents, Project Manual, Drawings, Specifications and subsequent Addenda. The Addendum shall supersede the original Contract Documents and previous Addenda wherein it contradicts the same and shall take precedence over anything to the contrary therein. All other conditions remain, UNCHANGED.

This Addendum is hereby made a part of the Contract Documents to the same extent as though it were originally included therein.

Updated Information:

BELOW, PLEASE FIND THE CITY'S RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS SUBMITTED BY A POTENTIAL BIDDER REGARDING THE MLK CAMPUS REPAIRS PROJECT:

QUESTIONS POSED AND CITY RESPONSE:

1. We know that the F+G Facility Condition Assessment identified approximately \$3,000,000 worth of recommended work over a ten year period, and that the City has, according to the RFP, budgeted that amount of money for this project. However, the RFP also indicates, in Article 3, Page 2, that there may also be a significant scope of work to integrate new energy and water efficiency measures.

- a. The first question is: do you intend to spread the execution of the FCA work over the ten years recommended in the FCA, or do you intend to concentrate all the Work into essentially a single, shorter, project duration? If it is the latter, what is the anticipated duration?

CITY RESPONSE:

Repairs and work shall be completed in a streamlined, thorough approach in the shortest time possible while accommodating the existing tenant's occupancy. Anticipated duration approximately 1 to 2 years.

- b. The second question revolves around those additional energy/water efficiencies, which were not identified in the FCA. Do you anticipate that some of these efficiency items,

once scoped, would be done at the expense of lower-priority FCA items in order to stay within a \$3,000,000 budget? Or is there an additional budget for the efficiency items, above the amount set aside for the FCA work?

CITY RESPONSE:

The scope of work for this project is based on the recommendations outlined in the FCA. Where efficiencies can be integrated within the budget, it is the City's preference to do so. Currently, there is no budget for items outside of the scope of the FCA.

2. Considering the occupants of the various buildings, are there any blackout periods where no work is to be allowed to proceed? If so, would you please identify those periods?

CITY RESPONSE:

Work shall be scheduled to minimize impact upon tenant's occupancy. The two schools on campus maintain the following summer schedule:

- 1.) New Village School (Bldg. 1) – School Ends June 13th. Begins August 17th.**
- 2.) Lycee Francais (Bldg. 3-5) – School Ends June 16th. Begins September 1st.**
- 3.) The Gymnasium is rented to various groups who will be notified of potential closure of the gym for work such as lighting and ceiling replacement.**

There are no "Blackout Periods".

3. It may be possible that other upgrades to the buildings may be triggered by the initiation of the above work, such as seismic upgrades to current code, Title 24 Energy considerations, or ADA accessibility issues. Is there additional budget for these types of issues?

CITY RESPONSE:

ADA upgrades are currently not a part of the FCA scope of work. Code Upgrades triggered by the FCA scope of work will be addressed on a case by case basis for funding. There is currently no additional budget beyond the scope of the FCA.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1

ACKNOWLEDGED

Bidder's Signature

A signed copy of this addendum is to be submitted as a part of the bid package for the subject project. Failure to do so may subject the Bidder to Disqualification.