



HISTORIC LANDMARKS BOARD MINUTES

Community Development Department | 420 Litho Street | Sausalito, CA 94965 | 415-289-4128

DRAFT

MEETING DATE: Thursday, November 16, 2016

MEETING TIME: 6:30 PM

LOCATION: City Hall Conference Room, 420 Litho Street, Sausalito

CALL TO ORDER

The joint meeting of the Planning Commission and the Historic Landmarks Board (Item 1 – 676-686 Bridgeway) adjourned at 8:35 PM and the Historic Landmarks Board reconvened in the City Hall Conference Room at 8:40 PM. Chair Brown, Board Member Sesto, and Board Member Richardson were present. Vice Chair LeBaron was absent. Business Manager Joshua Montemayor and Project Planner Binh Nguyen were present. Property owners Debra and John Burton were in attendance.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Board Member Richardson motioned for approval of the Agenda as submitted. The motion was seconded by Board Member Sesto. The motion was unanimously approved by a voice vote.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA – None

OLD BUSINESS – None

NEW BUSINESS

2. BURTON RESIDENCE | 209 WEST STREET | DR-ADU 16-200

Staff: Binh Nguyen

DESCRIPTION: The Community Development Department received an application for a Design Review Permit to demolish approximately 41% of the front, upper portion of residence, add 260 square-feet of new floor area, and construct a 460 square-foot Accessory Dwelling Unit. According to County of Marin records, the construction year of the property is 1889. As such, the property is at least 50 years old and a 50-year review memorandum is requested to determine the potential historical significance of the structure and site pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

PROJECT: Review draft 50-year memorandum evaluating potential historical significance of the structure and site.

Project Planner Nguyen provided an overview of the project and request for HLB review to determine the potential historical significance of the structure.

Board Member Richardson, preparer of the draft 50-year review memorandum, provided an overview of the document, which included an overview of the previous owners, the architecture style of the house, and the current condition of the structure.

Property owners Debra and John Burton discussed the existing conditions of the structure and future plans for the house.

The HLB discussed the draft memorandum and provided preliminary thoughts regarding potential historic significance. The HLB concluded their review by stating that based upon the information available and presented, the existing site and structure(s) of the property are not considered to be a significant local historic resource. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3-0.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The Board finds moderate significance under this criterion, because “*several of the previous owners (John Cochran and Frank Correirro) were among the first tradesmen who helped built Sausalito.*” Vote: 2-1.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect’s work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The Board finds moderate significance under this criterion, because “*although the property is small and relatively simple in detailing for the Victorian period, it has an innate charm and a pleasing nature in the proportions and overall design reflective of the period.*” Vote: 3-0.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The Board finds no significance under this criterion. Vote: 3-0.

The board found the property to not be historically significant and therefore provided no recommendations to the Planning Commission. The HLB noted that the remodel captures the design reflective of the period and will enhance the structure.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chair Brown motioned for approval of the Minutes as submitted by Staff. Board Member Sesto seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved by a voice vote.

COMMUNICATIONS

Staff Communications

- Business Manager Montemayor informed the Board that there is a tentative 50-year memo that will be transmitted, he discussed the meeting agenda for the remainder of 2016, and inquired whether there were any leads for new board members.

HLB Communications

- Board Members Sesto and Richardson discussed potential persons interested in the position and Board Member Sesto will follow up with the party.

ADJOURNMENT

Board Member Richardson motioned to adjourn the meeting. Board Member Sesto seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved by a voice vote. The meeting adjourned at 9:15 PM.

MEETING MINUTES APPROVED	
Ben Brown, MD, HLB Chair	Date